## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

٧.

Reyes Ibarra-Lopez

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Case Number: <u>11-10087M-001</u>

	JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge
DATE: Septe	ember 7, 2011
vooligate tile	potential time party oddiodian.
Services suffic	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial siently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and potential third party custodian.
Court.	of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
	PRDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to
	APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
a corrections fa appeal. The de of the United St	efendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in acility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending efendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court tates or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the united States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
2.	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
1.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
The Co	burt incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court ne hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of years imprisonment.
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
$\boxtimes$	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.
$\boxtimes$	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
I find by a prep	onderance of the evidence that:
detention of the	e defendant pending trial in this case. FINDINGS OF FACT
present and wa	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on September 7, 2011. Defendant was as represented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the